



THE FINANCIAL NUTS AND BOLTS OF CAMPUS PROJECTS

TASSCUBO Summer Meeting – July 2017

Eric Algoe, Texas State University

Kim Laird, The University of Texas at Dallas

TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY

The rising STAR of Texas

- San Marcos, Texas
- Chartered in 1899
- TSUS System
- 38,808 enrollment
- 200 academic programs in 8 schools

Facilities Environment

- 500 acres, San Marcos
100 Acres, Round Rock
4,500 acres total
- 8.1 Million GSF
- 39 Years Avg Bldg Age
- \$98.1 Million Avg Annual
Capital Expense



- Richardson, Texas
- Chartered in 1969
- University of Texas System
- 26,793 enrollment
- 142 academic programs in 8 schools



Facilities Environment

- 728 acres Collin & Dallas Counties
- 6.2 Million GSF
- 22 Years Avg Bldg Age
- \$111.6 Million Avg Annual Capital Expense



Factors Common to All Capital Projects

- Planning
- Management
- Completion and Operation





Planning

- Strategic, Academic, & Financial
- Assumptions (Be Honest!)
 - Enrollment, Research Needs, Staffing
- Pro forma (Be Honest!)
 - Revenue
 - New Sources
 - Reallocations of Existing Sources
 - Sustaining Operations
 - Debt Capacity



Management

- Project
 - Design, Bid, Build vs CMR
 - Internal Management vs External
- Debt
 - Duration, Lender, Fixed vs Variable
 - Funding Source: State vs. Local, Auxiliary



Completion and Operation

- Completion
 - Punch Lists
 - Full Project Close Out
- Commissioning
- Operation
 - Warranties & Guarantees
 - Training
 - Monitoring



GROUP DISCUSSION

Other Factors Common to All Capitol Projects



Today's Focus

- Traditional Projects:
 - Academic
 - Auxiliary
- P3: Public Private Partnership



GROUP DISCUSSION

Other types of capital projects

Types of Capital Projects

- Research center
- Student center
- Recreation center
- Athletic facilities
- Alumni center
- Music building
- Library
- Museum
- Athenaeum





THREE TYPES OF CAPITAL PROJECTS CONSIDERED

Academic, Public-Private Partnership (P3),
and Auxiliary

Academic Planning

Pro Forma Inputs



- Total Project Cost
- Financing Sources and Terms (often multiple)
- Building Description
- Expected Enrollment Impact
- Additional Faculty/Staff Needs
- Building Operations
- Other Expenses



Academic Example Engineering Building

- From Concept to Reality
 - \$110M TRB Request (Oct 2012)
 - \$70M TRB authorized (May 2015)
 - CIP Approval (August 2015)
 - Preliminary planning and analysis (March 2016)
 - Design & Development Approval (May 2016)
 - Notice to Proceed (Nov 2016)
 - Expected Occupancy (Aug 2018)



Academic Example (continued)

Engineering Building

- Project Cost and Financing Sources
 - \$110M Total Cost
 - Multiple Financing Sources
 - \$70 Million Tuition Revenue Bonds (TRB)
 - \$20 Million Permanent University Funds (PUF)
 - \$20 million Revenue Financing System (RFS)



Academic Example (continued)

Engineering Building

- Building Description
 - 200,000 GSF; 120,000 ASF
 - Majority research space; some classrooms
- Enrollment Impact
 - Increase 1,250 FTES (UG/GR)
- Personnel Impact
 - 14 new T/TT Faculty
 - 5 lecturers, 5 tech support
 - 250 Teaching/Research Assistants
 - 5 Support Staff



Academic Example (continued)

Engineering Building

- Building Expenses
 - General Maintenance & Ops: \$2.25/ASF
 - Custodial Services: \$0.75/“cleanable” SF
 - Utilities: \$4.50 per GSF
- Other Expenses
 - Research Startup Packages
- Debt Service
 - Interim Financing
 - Permanent Financing



Academic Example (continued)

Engineering Building

- Pro Forma Evaluation Focus
 - Debt coverage ratio (1.0 and higher)
 - University support required
 - Impact on University debt capacity
 - Impact on University operating budget

Auxiliary Planning

Pro Forma Inputs



- Total Project Cost
- Financing Sources and Terms
- Building Description
- Room Types
- Expected Occupancy
- Additional Staff Needs
- Building Operations
- Other Expenses



Auxiliary Example

Apartment Style Residence Hall

- From Concept to Reality
 - CIP Approval (May 2015)
 - Preliminary planning and analysis (August 2015)
 - Design & Development Approval (May 2016)
 - Notice to Proceed (Nov 2016)
 - Expected Occupancy (Aug 2017)



Auxiliary Example (continued) Apartment Style Residence Hall

- Project Cost and Financing Sources
 - \$48M Total Cost
 - Single Financing Sources
 - \$48 million Revenue Financing System (RFS)



Auxiliary Example (continued)

Apartment Style Residence Hall

- Building Description
 - 200,000 GSF; 120,000 ASF
 - 68 1-bed; 324 2-bed rooms
- Personnel Impact
- Building Expenses
- Other Expenses
- Debt Service
- Deferred Maintenance



Auxiliary Example (continued)

Apartment Style Residence Hall

- Pro Forma Evaluation Focus
 - Self-sustaining
 - Projected rental rates w/in market
 - Unit debt coverage ratio
 - Impact on overall housing
 - Operations
 - Debt coverage (1.3 and higher)
 - Adequate local reserve
 - Reasonable and sustainable deferred maintenance reserve
 - Impact on University debt capacity

Questions/Comments

Traditional Projects





P3 Process Overview

- Self- or Developer-Initiated?
- Know why P3 is better than other options for the project?
- Clearly delineate what you need and what you are willing to pay for it.
- Clearly understand the Developer's strengths, needs and desires.



P3 Process Overview (continued)

- Allow significant time for negotiations:
 1. All the steps of other projects
 - a) Financial Planning and Modeling
 - b) Project Program, Plan, Design
 - c) Construction Management
 2. Complex legal Partnership creation
 3. Long-term land deal
- Have a realistic timeframe that is well communicated and understood



P3 Process Overview (continued)

- Know everyone's role at each stage
 - Planning & Design
 - Credit and equity financing
 - Each phase of Construction
 - Management & operations
 - Refinancing & Change of Ownership
 - Termination

P3 Example

Multi-Tenant Research Facility at Off-Campus Research Park

- Started with a need for additional research space for successful spin-offs of our tech incubator.
- Obtained buy-in: Fully explained the situation and verified that the P3 approach was the best fit.

Public/Private Partnership

- Key elements
 - Subject to requirements of public sector financing
 - Project largely accessible from a small number perspective
 - Some form of cost reimbursement from the university will be necessary
 - University financial position will need to be substantiated at least in part

TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Public Private Partnership Advantages for the University

- Leverages additional financial resources
- Eliminates internal project risk exposure
- Avoids direct competition with University of academic/research building development needs
- Creates a model for other development at SRM Park
- Provides revenue source for research park operations (common area maintenance charges, etc.)

TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Public Private Partnership Advantages for Private Developer

- Reduced risk
- Clear flow - Future SRM Park guidelines and compliance meeting proceeds to Texas State
- Value added
 - Access and build coordination - support in university's research park
 - Enhanced access to faculty and operational facilities
 - Enhanced access to talent
 - Value partner and development adding soft long term value of the building

TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Alternative Approaches to P3

- Proposed lease-use agreement
 - Immediate access of funds
 - Supports project without future development (leases, utilities)
 - Flexible access of funding for amenities (common areas)
 - Allows full collaboration
- Revenue payment
 - Provides opportunity for greater investment income realization

TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY

KEY ELEMENTS OF LAND USE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

- Defined elements
 - Licenses/leases for all building assets
 - University's preparation of leases

TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY

P3 Example

Multi-Tenant Facility at Off-Campus Research Park





P3 Example

Multi-Tenant Research Facility

- RFP response came from very different companies:
 - Pure Real-Estate Developers
 - Design-Build-Operate
 - Research-Specific Design-Build-Operate-Maintain-Invest

- After deliberation, we selected a respondent with which to negotiate.





P3 Example

Multi-Tenant Research Facility

Negotiation Topics



- Ground Lease Term and Payment
- Credit Enhancements to start-up tenants
- Debt Service Reserve
- Construction Period payments
- Master Lease options
- Marketing effort responsibilities
- Land value
- Escalation mechanism
- Construction responsibility
- Operations responsibility
- Payment subordination
- Up-front contribution
- Parking
- Tenant approval process
- Springing Lease Option
- Equity investment
- Debt
- Land banked for future phases

P3 Example

Multi-Tenant Research Facility

- Next Steps
 - Finalize Developer Agreement, Sign tenant Leases, Start Construction



Questions/Comments

P3 Projects





BEST PRACTICES
What works consistently?



Best Practices

- Involve the right people:
 - Facilities people—include your financial experts in project discussions early
 - Financial people—stay informed, attend planning meetings
- Consult peers, subject matter experts, and people with institutional history to validate assumptions



Best Practices (continued)

- Be honest with yourself and your stakeholders.
- Have a clear delineation of roles and authority.
- Monitor everything and discuss concerns early.



Best Practices (continued)

- Check references
- Expect problems and model a can-do attitude.
- Have sufficient contingencies
- Everyone must own the Timeline

Thank you for you coming.
Questions?

